

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMEN'T OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trudemark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/529,617	06/07/2000	NIGEL J. FORROW	6237.US.01 8065		
7:	590 03/03/2003				
STEVEN F WEINSTOCK			EXAMINER		
ABBOTT LABORATORIES 100 ABBOTT PARK ROAD			OLSEN, KAJ K		
D 377 AP6D	TARK ROAD		<u>г</u>	····	
ABBOTT PARK, IL 60064-6050			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1753		
			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_				
	•	Application	No.	plicant(s)	(\times)			
Office Action Summary		09/529,617		FORROW ET A	_()			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Kaj Olsen		1753				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	on appears on the c	over sheet with the	e correspondence a	iddress			
THE - Extended after - If there is no incomplete Fail - Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ion. s, a reply within the statutor period will apply and will existence to statute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be ry minimum of thirty (30) xpire SIX (6) MONTHS fr tion to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered tim om the mailing date of this NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>26 December 20</u> 0	<u>02</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)∑	This action is no	on-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	•		•	the merits is			
•	tion of Claims Claim(s) 1.5.7.11 and 16.20 is/are pendi	ing in the application	an.					
4)[4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-11 and 16-29</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5\[Claim(s) is/are allowed.	marawii nom cons	deration.					
5)□ 6)⊠		ed						
7)[7								
8)□		and/or election red	uirement					
,—	tion Papers	ana, or 0.00 aon 109						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) O	jected to by the E	xaminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ app	roved b) disap	proved by the Exam	iner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Offic	e action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been ı	received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been ı	received in Applic	ation No				
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Ru	ule 17.2(a)).		al Stage			
14) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provision	al application).			
	a)							
Attachme								
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48) 5)		nary (PTO-413) Paper I nal Patent Application (F				

北京中央教室の開発をおかられているという。

、こいまないかはかななななななななないのではないないとうことできること

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 18-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 18 sets forth an electrode strip comprising a mediator compound where R1 has a nitrogen in the 1-position and R2 has a nitrogen in the 8-position. The examiner cannot find any support for this specific form of R1 and R2. The cited portions of the specification identified by the applicant only broadly defined elements of R1 and R2 (which included pyridyl units so support exists for the use of six-member heteroaromatic rings). There does not appear to be anything in the originally filed disclosure about the specific use of heteraromatics with nitrogens specifically in the 1-position and the 8-position. Moreover, there does not appear to be anything in the originally filed disclosure concerning the specific molecules of claims 19 and 26. Clarification is requested.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 18-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/529,617

Art Unit: 1744

Claim 18 specifies that R2 has a nitrogen in the 8-position. However, the structure 5. shown in claims 19 and 26 show the nitrogen in the 9-position, not the 8-position. Applicant might be relying on the atom numbering scheme typically utilized for similar molecules like anthracene (in that case, the nitrogen of claims 19 and 26 would be the 8-position). However, naming convention for the molecule of claims 19 and 26 does not support this numbering system. This was evidenced by Chemical Abstract Service (CAS), which identified the molecule

appropriate). Clarification is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

of claims 19 and 26 as being a "5,10-dione" (and not a "9,10-dione" if anthracene number were

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 1. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 3

A COUNTY CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO

Application/Control Number: 09/529,617

Art Unit: 1744

Page 4

上山大山大山北北海北海野中北北野衛衛衛門出北江南北南でるでありまいる」ない

4、1000年1月1日中央中央中央市場の開発の開発を開発を開発を使用を行っています。

ALK GRANTER CO.

3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hedenmo et al (Analyst, 1996, vol. 121, pp. 1891-1895) in view of Carter et al (USP 5,628,890). Hedenmo is being cited and utilized for the first time with this office action.

- 4. Hedenmo discloses a sensor that comprises an active electrode comprising a nicotinamide co-factor dependent enzyme glucose dehydrogenase (GDH), a cofactor of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD/NADH), and a mediator containing the molecule 1,10-phenanthroline 5, 6-dione that reads on the claimed formulae (fig. 1). Said electrode is also constructed with filler (carbon) and binder (paraffin oil) ingredients (see "Preparation of Carbon Paste Electrodes", p. 1892). Hedenmo does not explicitly recite the use of the various supports, conductive tracks with reference and counter electrodes, all these specified elements are conventional aspects of electrode strip construction that are to be used with readout circuitry. Carter shows a protocol for constructing strip sensors and provides a sensor where the location of the sample delivery can be controlled making the sensor easy for an unskilled user to manipulate (see fig. 1 and the associated discussion therein). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was being made to utilize the teaching of Carter for the sensor of Hedenmo because the protocol of Carter is conventional in the art and provides a sensor that is easy for the user to manipulate.
- 5. Claims 4, 5, 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hedenmo and Carter as applied to claim 1 above, in further view of Batchelor.
- 6. With respect to claim 4, the references set forth the limitations of the claim, and Hedenmo further identified that the NAD acts as a coenzyme for over 300 dehydrogenases (see Introduction) but did not explicitly identify the use of HBDH as the cofactor-dependent enzyme.

整理をおおかった

Application/Control Number: 09/529,617

Art Unit: 1744

Batchelor teaches an analogous sensor which utilizes the enzyme 3-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase which is thereby capable of measuring 3-hydroxybutyrate (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was being made to utilize the teaching of Batchelor for the sensor of Hedenmo and Carter in order to extend the utility of the sensor of Hedenmo to additional compounds such as 3-hydroxybutyrate.

- 7. With respect to the claims drawn to the method of using the electrode of claim 1 (those limitations not already discussed above), Hedenmo set forth the various components of the sensor and teaches how the various components interact to provide the sensor (fig. 1), but did not explicitly disclose the use of NAD(P). Batchelor teaches in an alternate electrochemical assay that NAD(P) is a alternate form of nicotinamide that finds equivalent utility in the art to that of NAD (p. 289). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was being made to utilize the teaching of Batchelor for the sensor of Hedenmo and Carter because the substitution of one known nicotinamide for another when the results are not unexpected requires only routine skill in the art.
- 8. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hedenmo and Carter as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Hilt et al (Chemistry, A European Journal, 1997, vol. 3(1), pp. 79-88). Hilt is being cited and relied on for the first time with this office action.
- 9. Hedenmo and Carter set forth all the limitations of the claim 16 but did not explicitly recite the presence of an alkyl substituted R1 and/or R2 group. With respect to claim 17, Hedenmo and Carter did not explicitly set forth where R1 and R2 are unsubstituted (Hedenmo is complexed with Os which the examiner is construing as constituting a substitution). Hilt teaches

- 19 というというなどのなどのでは、日本の

Application/Control Number: 09/529,617

Art Unit: 1744

that the use of the uncomplexed form of 1,10-phenanthoroline-5,6-dione (PD) as well as a alkyl substituted version of PD were known variants of the PD complexes like those of Hedenmo (see introduction and Scheme 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was being made to utilize the teaching of Hilt for the sensor of Hedenmo in view of Carter because the substitution of one known form of a particular dione for another when the results are not unexpected requires only routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. With respect to claims 18-29, the prior art does not disclose a disposable electrode strip comprising a mediator having the set forth formula having the specified R1 and R2 groups comprise six-membered heteroaromatic rings having nitrogens in the set forth positions. The closest prior art is Itoh, which teaches a molecule that reads on the claimed formula (fig. 1, molecule 7). However, Itoh is drawn to "[e]nzymatic catalysis in organic synthesis" (see introduction) and not sensor strips as set forth by the claims.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaj Olsen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0506. The

いといいはいきは世界は大きななななななないとのできるというとう

Application/Control Number: 09/529,617

Art Unit: 1744

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 AM-4:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nam Nguyen, can be reached at (703) 308-3322.

When filing a fax in Group 1700, please indicate in the header "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of this application. This will expedite processing of your papers. The fax number for regular communications is (703) 305-3599 and the fax number form after-final communications is (703) 305-5408.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Kaj K. Olsen

Patent Examiner

AU 1753

February 27, 2003